## PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker:	PUBLIC PROTECTI	ON AND ENFORCEMEN	NT PDS COMMITTEE
Date:	Wednesday 28 <sup>th</sup> Jur	ne 2023	
Decision Type:	Non-Urgent	Non-Executive	Non-Key
Title:	PP&E Contract Reg	ister	
Contact Officer:		ormance Management and E il: Lucy.West @Bromley.gov.	
Chief Officer:	Colin Brand, Director of B	Environment and Public Prote	ection
Ward:	All Wards		

#### 1. <u>Reason for report</u>

- 1.1 This report presents an extract from May 2023's Contracts Register of contracts with a whole life value of £50k or higher, for detailed scrutiny by PDS Committee – all PDS committees will receive a similar report each contract reporting cycle, based on data as at 21 April 2023 and presented to ER&C PDS on 15<sup>th</sup> May 2023.
- 1.2 The Contracts Register contained in 'Part 2' of this agenda includes a commentary on each contract to inform Members of any issues or developments. A covering report will also be included where additional commentary is required.

#### 2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### That the Public Protection and Enforcement PDS Committee:

- 2.1 Reviews and comments on the Contracts Register as at May 2023.
- **2.2** Note that in Part 2 of this agenda the Contracts Register contains additional, potentially commercially sensitive, information in its commentary.

#### Impact on Vulnerable Adults and Children

 Summary of Impact: The appended Contracts Register covers services which may be universal or targeted. Addressing the impact of service provision on vulnerable adults and children is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts award and monitoring reports, and service delivery rather than this report.

#### Corporate Policy

- 1. Policy Status: Existing Policy:
- 2. MBEB Priority: Excellent Council:

#### Financial

- 1. Cost of proposal: N/A
- 2. Ongoing costs: N/A
- 3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection and Enforcement Portfolio
- 4. Total current budget for this head: £3.2m
- 5. Source of funding: Existing controllable revenue budget for 2023/24

#### <u>Personnel</u>

- 1. Number of staff (current and additional): N/A
- 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A

#### Legal

- 1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement:
- 2. Call-in: Not Applicable:

#### Procurement

1. Summary of Procurement Implications: Improves the Council's approach to contract management

#### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): N/A

#### Ward Councillor Views

- 1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A
- 2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

## 3. COMMENTARY

# **Contracts Register Background**

- 3.1 The Contracts Database is fully utilised by all Contract Managers across the Council as part of their Contract Management responsibilities, which includes the updating the information recorded on the database. The Register is generated from the Contracts Database which is administered by Corporate Procurement and populated by the relevant service managers (Contract Owners) and approved by their managers (Contract Approvers).
- 3.2 As a Commissioning Council, this information is vital to facilitate a full understanding of the Council's procurement activity and the Contracts Registers is a key tool used by Contract Managers as part of their daily contract responsibilities. The Contract Registers are reviewed by the Procurement Board, Chief Officers and the Corporate Leadership Team.
- 3.3 The Contracts Register is produced four times a year for members and is a 'snapshot' at the time of each report though the CDB itself is always 'live'. The quarterly reporting cycle is based on the Executive, Resources and Contracts PDS timetable with reports for each Portfolio prepared and distributed at the same time. There may be a timelag between the quarterly reporting cycle and the next available date of the relevant Policy, Development and Scrutiny Committee for each Portfolio. Report authors for each Portfolio have the opportunity to provide updates on any contracts through the accompanying Part 2 report.
- 3.4 Each PDS committee is expected to undertake detailed scrutiny of its contracts including scrutinising suppliers and hold the Portfolio Holder to account on service quality and procurement arrangements.

#### **Contract Register Summary**

3.5 The Council has 231 active contracts across all Portfolios as of 21 April 2023 for the May 2023 reporting cycle as set out in Appendix 1.

ltem	Category	September 2022	February 2023	May 2023
Total Contracts	£50k+	6	8	8
Concern Flag	Concern Flag	0	0	0
	1			
D'al-Jardan	Higher Risk	2	3	2
Risk Index	LowerRisk	4	5	6
	1			
	Red	0	0	0
Procurement Status for	Amber	1	1	0
Contracts approaching end date	Green	4	4	3
	Neutral	1	3	5

3.6 The summary for the Public Protection and Enforcement Portfolio is as follows:

## 4. IMPACT ON VULNERABLE ADULTS & CHILDREN

4.1 The Corporate Contracts Register covers all Council services: both those used universally by residents and those specifically directed towards vulnerable adults and children. Addressing the impact of service provision on the vulnerable is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts, and delivery of specific services rather than this summary register.

# 5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The Council's renewed ambition is set out in <u>Making Bromley Even Better 2021 - 2031</u> and the Contracts Database (and Contract Registers) help in delivering the aims (especially in delivering Ambition Five – Resources & Efficiencies). For Ambition Five, this activity specifically helps by supporting 'robust and active contract management'.

## 6. PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Most of the Council's (£50k plus) procurement spend is now captured by the Contracts Database. The database will help in ensuring that procurement activity is undertaken in a timely manner, that Contract Procedure Rules are followed and that Members are able to scrutinise procurement activity in a regular and systematic manner.

#### 7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1 The Contracts Database and Contract Registers are not primarily financial tools – the Council has other systems and reports for this purpose such as the Budget Monitoring reports. However, the CDB and Registers do contain financial information both in terms of contract dates and values and also budgets and spend for the current year.

#### 8. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no direct personnel implications but the Contracts Database is useful in identifying those officers directly involved in manging the Council's contracts.

#### 9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 There are no direct legal implications but the Contracts Database does identify those contracts which have a statutory basis and also those laws which should be complied with in delivering the contracted services.
- 9.2 A list of the Council's active contracts may be found on <u>Bromley.gov.uk</u> to aid transparency (this data is updated after each ER&C PDS meeting).

Non-Applicable Sections:	None
Background Documents: (Access via Contact	<ul> <li>Appendix 1 – Key Data (All Portfolios)</li> <li>Appendix 2 - Contracts Database Background information</li> </ul>
Officer)	<ul> <li>Appendix 3 – Contracts Database Extract PART 1</li> </ul>

# Appendix 1 Key Data (All Portfolios)

ltem	Category	September 2022	February 2023	May 2023
Contracts (>£50k TCV)	All Portfolios	236	246	231
Flagged as a concern	All Portfolios	0	1	2
	Executive, Resources and Contracts	79	88	79
	Adult Care and Health	49	49	47
	Environment and Community Services	20	23	22
Portfolio	Children, Education and Families	41	45	40
	Renewal and Recreation and Housing	41	38	35
	Public Protection and Enforcement	6	8	8
<b>2</b> 24 4	Higher Risk	73	74	69
Risk Index	LowerRisk	163	172	162
Procurement Status for	Red	0	1	2
Contracts approaching end date	Amber	23	18	11
	Green	72	78	73
	Neutral	141	149	145

# Appendix 2 - Contracts Register Key and Background Information

# Contract Register Key

1.1 A key to understanding the Corporate Contracts Register is set out in the table below.

Register Category	Explanation
Risk Index	Colour-Ranking system reflecting eight automatically scored and weighted criteria
	providing a score (out of 100) reflecting the contract's intrinsic risk – reported as
	either Higher Risk or Lower Risk
Contract ID	Unique reference used in contract authorisations
Owner	Manager/commissioner with day-to-day budgetary / service provision responsibility
Approver	Contract Owner's manager, responsible for approving data quality
Contract Title	Commonly used or formal title of service / contract
Supplier	Main contractor or supplier responsible for service provision
Portfolio	Relevant Portfolio for receiving procurement strategy, contract award, contract
	monitoring and budget monitoring reports
Total Contract	The contract's value from commencement to expiry of formally approved period
Value	(excludes any extensions yet to be formally approved)
Original Annual	Value of the contract its first year (which may be difference from the annual value
Value	in subsequent years, due to start-up costs etc.)
Procurement	For all contracts automatically ranked by the Database as approaching their end
Status	date, a manual RAG rating is assigned by the Assistant Director Governance &
	Contracts to reflect the status of the contract. The RAG ratings are as follows:
	<b>Red</b> – there are potential issues with the contract or the timescales are tight and it
	requires close monitoring.
	Amber – appropriate procurement action is either in progress or should be
	commencing shortly.
	controlling chordy.
	Green – appropriate procurement action has been successfully taken or there is
	still sufficient time to commence and complete a procurement action.
Start & End	Approved contract start date and end date (excluding any extension which has yet
Dates	to be authorised)
Months duration	Contract term in months
Attention 🔁	Red flag or Red RAG indicates that there are potential issues, or that the
	timescales are tight and it requires close monitoring. Further commentary may be
	provided in th Part 2 report.
Commentary	Contract Owners provide a comment –where contracts approach their end date.
-	Corporate Procurement may add an additional comment for Members'
	consideration
	The Commentary only appears in the 'Part 2' Contracts Register
Capital	Most of the Council's contracts are revenue-funded. Capital-funded contracts are
-	separately identified (and listed at the foot of the Contracts Register) because
	different reporting / accounting rules apply

## **Contract Register Order**

1.2 The Contracts Register is ordered by Procurement Status, Portfolio, and finally Contract Value. Capital contracts appear at the foot of the Register and 'contracts of concern' (to Corporate Procurement) are flagged at the top.

### **Risk Index**

1.3 The Risk Index is designed to focus attention on contracts presenting the most significant risks to the Council. Risk needs to be controlled to an acceptable level (our risk appetite) rather than entirely eliminated and so the issue is how best to assess and mitigate contract risk. Contract risk is assessed (in the CDB) according to eight separate factors and scored and weighted to produce a Risk Index figure (out of 100). The Risk Index is reported as either 'Higher Risk' or 'Lower Risk'.

	Contract Risk Status	45.4	
Hid	e Risk Details		
Ref	Risk Type	Analyses Result	Score
1	Company Size	Mutiple Suppliers / Sizes	0.6
2	Total Contract Value	>£100k <£500k	2.0
3	Annual Contract Value	>£50k <£100k	12.0
4	Budget & projected spend variance	Default Score used	10.0
5	Sector	Other	5.0
-	Contract Term (Remaining Agreed Term)	1-2 yrs	1.2
6			

#### **Procurement Status**

1.4 The Database will highlight contracts approaching their end date through a combination of the Total Contract Value and number of months to expiry. For all contracts highlighted by the Database as potentially requiring action soon, a commentary is provided on the status of the contract and a manual RAG rating is assigned.